

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

NAV N GO KFT., a Hungarian limited  
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MIO TECHNOLOGY USA LTD, a California  
corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:08-CV-1384-LRH-(LRL)

**STIPULATION AND  
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR  
PLAINTIFF TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION  
TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
DISMISS OR ALTERNATIVELY,  
MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION  
PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE  
HUNGARIAN LAWSUIT**

**STIPULATION**

1. On November 10, 2008, Defendant Mio Technology USA Ltd (“Defendant” and/or  
“Mio USA”) filed Defendant’s Motion To Dismiss Or Alternatively, Motion to Stay This Action  
Pending Resolution of the Hungarian Lawsuit. (See Docket No. 8.)

2. Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 7-2(b) and Federal Rule of Civil  
Procedure 6, the time for Plaintiff Nav N Go Kft. (“Plaintiff” and/or “Nav N Go”) to file and serve  
its brief in opposition to Defendant’s motion expires on November 28, 2008.

3. Due to the Thanksgiving holiday, Nav N Go has requested additional time to file  
and serve its brief in opposition to Defendant’s motion. Defendant has agreed to grant Nav N Go  
an additional ten (10) days to do so.

4. Thus, Nav N Go shall have until Monday, December 8, 2008, to file and serve its

1 brief in opposition to Defendants' motion.

2 **IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED:**

3

4 By: /s/ Jonathan W. Fountain By: /s/ John P. Desmond (with consent)

5 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP  
6 Michael J. McCue  
7 Jonathan W. Fountain  
8 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 600  
9 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Nav N Go Kft.

JONES VARGAS  
John P. Desmond  
Wayne O. Klomp  
100 West Liberty St., 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Reno, NV 89504  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mio Technology USA Ltd

10 Dated: November 24, 2008.

11 **ORDER**

12 The Court, having reviewed the foregoing stipulation and being otherwise fully informed,  
13 Plaintiff Nav N Go Kft. shall have until December 8, 2008 to file and serve its brief in opposition  
14 to Defendant's Motion To Dismiss Or Alternatively, Motion to Stay This Action Pending  
15 Resolution of the Hungarian Lawsuit (Docket No. 8).

16

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

18



19

20 LARRY R. HICKS  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22

23 DATED: December 3, 2008.

24

25

26

27